

**Overblik over AMSTAR vurderinger af retningslinjer for
National klinisk retningslinje for udvalgte sundhedsfaglige indsatser ved rehabilitering til patienter med type 2 diabetes**

Reference	PICO	1. Was an 'a priori' design provided?	2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?	3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed?	4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?	5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided?	6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided?
Lovemann, 2008 (ref. nr. 3327)		1 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Duke, 2009 (ref. nr. 3313)		1 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Medical Advisory Secretariat, 2009 (ref. nr. 3200)		1 Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Fan, 2009 (ref. nr. 3471)		1 No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Heinrich, 2010 (ref. nr. 3521)		1 No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Schellenberg, 2013 (ref. nr. 3217)		1 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hawthorne 2010 (ref. nr. 3448)		1 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Attridge 2014 (ref. nr. 3541)		1 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Steinsbekk, 2012 (ref. nr. 2384)		1 yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Lovemann, 2008 (ref.nr. 3327)		2 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Duke, 2009 (ref.nr. 3313)		2 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Medical Advisory Secretariat, 2009 (ref.nr. 3200)		2 Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Fan, 2009 (ref.nr. 3471)		2 No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Heinrich, 2010 (ref.nr. 3521)		2 No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Schellenberg, 2013 (ref.nr. 3217)		2 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hawthorne 2010 (ref.nr. 3448)		2 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Attridge 2014 (ref.nr. 3541)		2 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Steinsbekk, 2012 (ref.nr. 2384)		2 yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

Ingen inkluderede reviews (No reviews included)	3						
Kodama (ref.nr. 6652)	4 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Cast-Con (ref.nr. 6635)	4 No	Can't answer	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Kirk (ref.nr. 6660)	4 No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Ajala (ref.nr. 6624)	4 No	Can't answer	Yes	yes	Yes	Yes	
Dyson (ref.nr. 6655)	4 No	No	No	No	No	Yes	
Boule, 2001 (ref.nr. 5074)	5 Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Snowling, 2006 (ref.nr. 3974)	5 No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Umpierre, 2011 (ref.nr. 3764)	5 No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
Vheijden, 2013 (ref.nr. 3675)	5 Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Ingen inkluderede reviews (No reviews included)	6						
Boule, 2001 (ref.nr. 5074)	7 og 8 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
De Moura, 2011 (ref.nr. 4278)	7 og 8 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Yes	Yes	
Hansen, 2010 (ref.nr. 4769)	7 og 8 Can't answer	Can't answer	No	Can't answer	No	No	
Irvine, 2009 (ref.nr. 3820)	7 og 8 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	No	Yes	
Umpeirre, 2013 (ref.nr. 3698)	7 og 8 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	No	No	
Thomas, 2006 (ref.nr. 3979)	7 og 8 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Nielsen, 2006 (ref.nr. 3975)	7 og 8 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Oliveira, 2012 (ref.nr. 3707)	7 og 8 Can't answer	Can't answer	No	No	No	No	
Schwingshackl, 2014 (ref.nr. 3594)	7 og 8 No	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Yes	Can't answer	
Sigal, 2004 (ref.nr. 4546)	7 og 8 No	No	No	No	No	No	
Snowling, 2006 (ref.nr. 3974)	7 og 8 Yes	Can't answer	Yes	Can't answer	No	Yes	
Health Quality,Ontario (ref.nr. 2291)	9 Yes	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Yes	Yes	
Thongsai et al. (ref.nr. 2337)	9 Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	
Mulimba et al. (ref.nr. 2926)	9 Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	

Minet et al. (ref.nr. 2494)	9 Yes	Can't answer	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Loveman et al. (ref.nr. 2620)	9 Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Alam, 2008 (ref.nr. 2537)	9 Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Fan, 2009 (ref.nr. 2878)	9 Yes	Can't answer	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Pal, 2013 (ref.nr. 6851)	10 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Jackson, 2006 (ref.nr. 6918)	10 Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Angeles, 2011 (ref.nr. 6964)	10 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Cotter, 2014 (ref.nr. 6832)	10 Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
El-Gayar, 2013 (ref.nr. 6845)	10 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ramadas, 2011 (ref.nr. 6877)	10 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Connelly, 2013 (ref.nr. 6841)	10 Yes	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Yes	Yes
Pal, 2014 (ref.nr. 6826)	10 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Not applicable	No	Yes

7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?	8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?	9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?	10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	11. Was the conflict of interest included?	Anvendes i NKR?
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Ja
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Ja
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Nej
No	No	No	No	No	nej
No	No	No	No	No	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	ja
Yes	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	No	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	nej
yes	yes	yes	yes	no	ja
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Ja
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Nej
No	No	No	No	No	nej
No	No	No	No	No	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	Can't answer	No	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	nej
yes	yes	yes	yes	no	nej

Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	nej
Yes	Yes	No	No	No	nej
No	No	Yes	No	Yes	nej
Yes	Yes	yes	No	Yes	ja
No	No	Can't answer	No	Yes	nej

Yes	No	Yes	No	No	nej
Can't answer	No	No	No	No	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	ja
Yes	No	Not applicable	No	No	nej

No	No	No	Yes	Yes	nej
No	No	No	No	No	nej
No	No	No	No	Not applicable	nej
Yes	Yes	Can't answer	Can't answer	Not applicable	nej
Yes	No	Yes	No	Can't answer	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	nej
No	No	No	No	No	nej
No	No	No	No	Yes	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	nej
No	No	No	No	Can't answer	nej
No	Can't answer	Can't answer	No	No	nej

Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ja
Yes	Can't answer	No	No	No	nej
Yes	Can't answer	Can't answer	Yes	Yes	nej

Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ja
Yes	Yes	No	Can't answer	Can't answer	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ja
No	No	No	No	Yes	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ja
No	No	No	No	Yes	nej
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	nej
Yes	Can't answer	No	No	Yes	nej
Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	nej
Yes	Yes	Not applicable	Yes	No	nej
Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	nej
Not applicable	Can't answer	Yes	No	Yes	nej