## Overblik over AMSTAR vurderinger for National Klinisk retnignslinje for behandling af OCD

					4. Was the							
			2. Was		status of	5. Was a			8. Was the			
			there		publicatio	list of	6. Were the	7. Was the	scientific quality	9. Were the	10. Was the	
			duplicate	3. Was a	n (i.e. grey	studies	characteristi	scientific quality	of the included	methods used	likelihood	11. Was
			study	comprehensi	literature)	(included	cs of the	of the included	studies used	to combine the	of	the
	PICO	/ 1. Was an 'a	selection	ve literature	used as an	and	included	studies assessed	appropriately in	findings of	publication	conflict of
	PIRO	priori' design	and data	search	inclusion	excluded)	studies	and	formulating	studies	bias	interest
Reference	no.	provided?	extraction?	performed?	criterion?	provided?	provided?	documented?	conclusions?	appropriate?	assessed?	included?
Skarphendisson, 2014		1 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Olatunji, 2013		1 No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Skarphendisson, 2014		2 Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Thompson-Hollands, 2014		2 No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
O'Kearney, 2006		3 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not applicable	Not applicable	Yes	Yes
Jonsson, 2009		3 Can't answer	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Ruiz		4 Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Normann		4 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Ivarsson, 2015		5 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Romanelli, 2014		5 No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
O'Kearney, 2006		5 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not applicable	Not applicable	Yes	Yes	Yes
Romanelli, 2014		6 No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dold, 2012		8 No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Komossa, 2010		8 Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Donovan, 2009		9 No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes